

Example of an Unhelpful Review of a Rejected Paper

EMPIRICAL STUDY

MERGA RESEARCH PAPERS: REVIEWER REPORT FORM

I have reviewed the paper:

Title: Beliefs about Solving Word Problems in Algebra

Code: RP43

As a research paper for presentation at MERGA 26 and publication in the conference proceedings, I recommend that the paper be (delete two):

REJECTED

Please supply below reasons for the acceptance or rejection of the paper commenting on each of the categories specified below, as appropriate. You may refer to more issues than suggested by the categories if you wish.

Statement of problem/issue and discussion of its significance:

Unclear

Literature review and theoretical framework:

None here

Methodology and data analysis (when applicable):

Poorly designed and analysed.

Discussion:

Appears to be fine.

Conclusions and implications:

Hard to follow.

General comments including reasons for the recommendation:

Badly written. Lacks clarity.

Minor revision: Notes for editorial team

Please note here any minor revisions that can be completed by the editorial team (e.g., typographical errors, grammatical points, ways in which the paper does not conform to the MERGA layout and presentation guidelines for publication of MERGA papers)

Would you like copies of the comments of the other reviewer/s of this paper to be e-mailed to you?

NO